## MOVING TO AFS

SIMON WILKINSON SCHOOL OF INFORMATICS UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH SIMON@SXW.ORG.UK

#### **OVERVIEW**

#Evaluation results

Cell design

Deployment process

Deployment experiences

## BACKGROUND ON INFORMATICS

\*~ 2000 active users, ~1500 hosts

#20 Tb of centrally managed filestore

Deployed Kerberos and LDAP infrastructure

## OUR EXISTING FILESYSTEM

\*\* NFS v3 based with Sun fileservers and predominantly Linux clients

\* AMD automounter providing identical filesystem on every machine

Locally developed mechanisms to populate AMD filesystem maps, manage quotas, and do nightly mirroring

Developed incrementally over many years.

#### WEAKNESSES

Lack of security

Can't allow access from unmanaged machines

Can't allow access from beyond the firewall

#### WEAKNESSES

# Lack of portability

\*\* AMD infrastructure required significant modifications to off-the-shelf machines

\* Lack of client availability for some systems

#### WEAKNESSES

\* Lack of maintainability

\* Local glue required lots of effort just to keep running

Dealing with partition filling, and the resultant home directory moves

Fileserver failure leads to hung mounts, and lots of rebooting

## CRITERIA

Secure enough to permit access from foreign machines, and across firewalls **Flexible ACL model** Better performance **Stability** Linux and Solaris support required, Windows and Mac OS X desirable # Easily scale to our client & data requirements \* No per-client licensing fees \* Preferably be a self-contained solution

#### CANDIDATES

\*\* AFS
\*\* CIFS
\*\* Coda
\*\* NFSv4

#### FEATURE COMPARISON

On paper, most AFS features are present in NFSv4

Critical absence is volume location independence

Can't move filespace between servers without the user noticing

No concept of a global namespace - still needs automounter glue!

## **EVALUATION**

\*\* AFS and NFSv4 feature sets very similar on paper, with NFSv4 leading the way

\* However, NFSv4 "not quite ready yet" - few implementations of complete feature set

Linux NFSv4 only did machine based authentication at mount time

Bugs in NFSv4 implementation caused benchmarks to hang

#### BENCHMARKS

Three benchmarks selected
iozone
blogbench
The Andrew Benchmark

Only iozone and blogbench eventually used

## BENCHMARKING RESULTS

\*\* NFSv4 won the iozone one every time - by a small margin for files smaller than the AFS cache size

\* Much more evenly matched with blogbench

"Lies, damn lies, and statistics"

#### **EVALUATION RESULTS**

\*\* NFSv4 just wasn't ready, and would still have required automounter madness.

\* "Don't want our data to be their learning experience"

OpenAFS met the majority of our criteria, with stability as an added bonus!

## CELL DESIGN AUTHENTICATION

\*\* AFS is tightly coupled with our authentication infrastructure

\* Using RedHat's RH9 vintage pam\_krb5 module (but planning on stopping)

Using Doug Engert's pam\_afs2 module (but looking at Russ's pam\_openafs\_session)

## CELL DESIGN DIRECTORY

- Debated integrating pts with our existing LDAP directory
- Wrote some proof-of-concept code to backend pts with LDAP
- Decided that our LDAP service wasn't sufficiently reliable to do this in production
- \* Use 'standard' pts, with hooks into our account management system

## CELL DESIGN -BACKUPS



## CELL DESIGN ONLINE BACKUPS

Our recent history makes us somewhat jumpy
Off site disk mirrors was a requirement
So, we use read-only user volumes

\*\* All user volumes have an offsite RO copy which is released nightly.

Backup volumes are still used to provide 'Yesterday' functionality, and tape backups ...

## CELL DESIGN TAPE BACKUPS

Finding a workable, scalable, tape backup system is a priority

Currently embroiled in local politics

At the moment, we just walk the AFS filespace and use our existing EBU licenses

Not a very pretty bodge!

## **DEPLOYMENT EXPERIENCES**

Softly, softly ...

Initially offered additional file space, rather than home directories, to the adventurous

Gradually shifted computing staff home directories over

\* Now creating all new users in AFS

Starting to bulk move existing users

## THINGS THAT MAKE OUR USERS SAD

- \* ACLs especially the fact they are directory only
- \* Lack support for 'special' files such as devices or named pipes.
- Limits on maximum number of files per directory
- Linux's behaviour with sticky mode temporary directories

## THINGS THAT CAUSED US PAIN

\*\* Xauthority files stored in home directories
\*\* SSH public key files
\*\* System daemons inheriting the PAG of the

user starting them.

Condor

Beagle

#### **SECURITY HURTS!**

Requirement to gain credentials before accessing files causes problems

\* Cron

Web servers

Condor and Grid Engine

### SECURITY STILL HURTS

# Having to renew credentials is not popular

Long running jobs

% Processes left running overnight
 (Thunderbird, gnome-screensaver!)

\* Unix applications aren't good at dealing with unexpected FS failure

#### **REDUCE THE PAIN**

Get your filesystem credentials at login

- Renew them whenever you can (screensavers &c.)
- Don't have credentials expiring in the middle of the day
- Make sure all credentials renewal tools renew AFS tokens, too

#### LONG RUNNING JOBS

Provide a mechanism for stashing credentials with a subset of permissions on the local disk

- \* Encourage people to use this to provide credentials for long running jobs
- \*k5start and krenew are hugely useful tools
- Renewable tickets are great for medium-life jobs!

#### CONCLUSIONS

Going well so far

The crunch point is just around the corner!

Softly, softly has perhaps been too soft

\* Ensuring reliability before moving users, and responding rapidly to their concerns has been key



There's a lot of good code and support out there!

# QUESTIONS?